

Excerpt from:

“So Be It—How the nature of Being helps answer Life’s biggest questions.” © 2007 Peter Francis Dziuban

Beyond Einstein, beyond the mind

One would be hard pressed to come up with a human mind more brilliant than that of Albert Einstein. His feats are legendary when it comes to unraveling some of Life’s deepest, oldest questions. Einstein even came up with countless new questions of his own, which no one else ever had thought to ask.

Like many intellectual giants before him, and many since, Einstein sought an explanation of the nature of time and the vast stellar universe. He probed deeply into the nature of matter and the atom, trying to find what is at the very core, or is the essence of, all Existence. Thanks to his ever-inquiring intellect, Einstein began to pick apart the workings of time and space—much as a confident master craftsman knows that the most intricate grandfather clock is, ultimately, just a lot of simple little pieces.

However, even beyond the great accomplishments of Einstein and so many others, there are enormously important questions that up to now have remained unanswered. Here are a few:

Is the stellar universe finite or infinite?

Is there anything “faster” than the speed of light?

While evidence seems to support the big bang theory for the beginning of the universe—is there no other possible explanation? It appears that constantly moving, changing *energy* in one form or another is what underlies, or is behind our constantly changing universe—energy is what appears to “drive” everything. But—is there anything that is “pre” or “underlying” energy?

Science’s holy grail has been the search for one single theory that unifies or explains

what are called *the laws of the large and the laws of the small*—the behavior of the large heavenly bodies of the universe; and the behavior of the small, the tiniest subatomic particles at the quantum level. In relatively recent developments, *string theory*, which involves incredibly small levels of vibration, seems to be hot on the trail of providing a single unifying answer.

Here's an unusual thought: what if you were to challenge the notion that some things in the universe are physically large in size, some small in size, and some in-between in size?

What if the very notion that there are physical differences in size is an illusion—a trick played by the human mind and five physical senses. What if there really is no such thing as physical size? Does that sound absolutely preposterous?

Right now, think of the head of a pin. Now think of a huge galaxy in space. Which is larger? Neither—because the only place they both exist is in your thought—all there is to both of them is that they are “mental” or “made out of” *thought*, and thought has no physical size.

See if you can mention a single thing in the entire universe, whether a pin or an entire planet—that exists separate from your very thought of it. You can't. The pin, the planet, and even this book, all may appear to be outside of your *body*, yes, but they never are outside of, or separate from thought. Why? If any thing were separate from thought, it would not be possible to even think of, or mention that thing. Incredible as it may sound, you are about to see that everything in your stellar universe really is inseparable from thought.

String theory attempts to reconcile the workings of the stellar universe at its largest levels with its smallest, innermost levels. But—the five senses give the stellar universe the illusory appearance that it's “out there,” and separate—when all the while, the universe is inseparable from the thought of the very one observing that universe. So could it be that string theory also is a way of explaining the operation of *thought*? After all, string theory is concerned with the finest levels of vibration, and thought also is a fine form of vibration. The author of this book is neither a physicist nor a string theorist—so he is not promoting that claim—but he is definitely asking the question!

Some scientific theorizing has gone so far as to completely rule out the existence of

matter—and to rule out the possibility of there being time and space. As incredible as that sounds, what if it's true? And if it is true, then *what's left?* If you were to say, “Nothing would be left,” can you be absolutely certain of that? On what do you base your conclusion?

With all of the incredible findings of science, why has science still not found the “ultimate reality”?

Einstein himself came up against some of these, and other, questions. Yet even Einstein was able to take certain questions only so far. Then he, too, hit the wall.

Do you know what Einstein's only problem was?

He couldn't get beyond Einstein.

That is because the great work and conclusions of Einstein and so many others has come from using only the personal thinking mind.

This book is going to show you there is an entirely different, new way of “seeing” such questions and their answers—one that is instantly and always available to you.

It is to “know,” or perceive, not by way of the thinking mind or intellect, but by way of pure Conscious Awareness. It is to “see” or “look out from” the vantage point of your own pure Consciousness as It is right now *being*. One of the major endeavors of this book is to show how and why pure Conscious Awareness is not the same as the intellect, or personal thinking, sensing “mind.” The thinking, sensing mind seems to be something you *use*, but it is not you—not the greater “You” that is simply, purely aware—the You that can discern what seems to go on in the mind. Pure Conscious Awareness is the You that you *really* are, and this is the one who has all the answers, for reasons that will be gone into at great length.

You may now be asking, “If Consciousness is not the same as the mind, then what *is* Consciousness, and how is It different from the mind?” Well, that's what much of this book is about. For now, suffice it to say that the mind's activity or experience is generally said to involve the five physical sensations of sight, sound, touch, taste and smell—as well as thinking or the intellect, and also the emotions—because thinking and emotions are virtually always based on what is sensed by the five senses.

Here's a brief example. Right now it seems the *sense of sight* is telling the mind that a book is being held in the hands, and you now appear to see some words on a page about Consciousness and Being. You then use the intellect to *think* about that a bit, which then may lead to having some *emotional feelings* about it. You may have a feeling of doubt or skepticism, such as, "Oh, this looks like one of *those* books. I wonder if it's written by one of those New-Age types." Or, you may have a feeling of enthusiasm, as in, "Hey, this book looks like it's saying something fresh and new." All of that foregoing activity is said to be of the mind—occurring on the level of the five senses, intellect, and emotions. The so-called sensing, thinking mind always appears to deal with such *things*—something sensed by the five senses, as well as the thoughts and emotions that result from what is sensed. The mind always deals with *form*.

Meanwhile, what is the pure Consciousness you are right now aware of being?

What is pure Consciousness *Itself*—entirely distinct from everything you seem or appear to be *conscious of*?

What is Consciousness, distinct from all forms—all thought-forms, all emotional forms, all sights, sounds, touches, and all other things or forms in your universe? Pure Consciousness, all by *Itself* alone, never is the same as any thing or form that the mind appears to experience or observe. All form is found only in what one appears to be conscious of.

Consciousness Itself is formless.

Consciousness *Itself* is a state of pure Being.

Yet—*isn't* it interesting—Consciousness is the one absolute essential, because if this formless Consciousness were *not* now being present and aware—you wouldn't be conscious to even know there are any forms or universe at all.

Consciousness *isn't* some ethereal, elusive, or scientifically shaky state. It is always specific and definite—the very aware presence that is right here and now specifically alive to being—just without a form. It is the very Awareness that enables one to now read and be aware of these words. It is the Awareness that enables one be aware of and read *all* words—for without first being aware or conscious, one couldn't read anything, not even the most highly respected

scientific documents.

The answers to today's deepest questions in science (or philosophy and religion, for that matter) lie in not identifying with the mind. The questions cannot be answered by doing a better job of looking from the level of the sensing, thinking mind, as most of us have done up to now. The answers lie in identifying as pure Conscious Being, pure Awareness, and "looking out from" here—which is an entirely new way of "looking."

If that leaves you feeling skeptical, stop and ask yourself this: *Who* is it that says the thinking, sensing mind is supposed to be the only valid judge of everything? Who has endowed the mind with such an elevated status? Only that same mind! The only one saying that the thinking, sensing mind should call all the shots and always have the last word about Life, the universe, and Reality—is that very same mind!

Maybe you never noticed it before, but the only one that thinks thinking is so special, is that very same thinking!

The thinking mind or intellect often overrates itself. No matter how advanced its thinking is, the intellect doesn't know how to make you *conscious*. Thinking doesn't cause Life to be alive, does it? Thinking doesn't make Existence exist. It's the other way around. It is thanks entirely to first being conscious, thanks to just plain being, or existing, that thinking can even be engaged in.

"If we aren't supposed to go by the thinking mind, then how are we to know anything?" the thought may come. Perhaps there is much more to Life and Reality than what the thinking mind says it knows or experiences by way of its five senses. Perhaps in Reality, things aren't the way they appear to the sensing mind at all.

It's time to more closely observe the observer

In a nutshell, here is what this book is going to do. Traditional science continues to use the five senses and thinking mind (what science calls "the observer") to observe, examine and

learn about the so-called material world and universe. It is hoped that someday this will lead to finding an “ultimate reality” or a unifying Theory of Everything. But until now, how closely have we inspected the credentials of the very observer itself—the sensing, thinking mind? By “looking out from” pure formless *Consciousness*—and not looking from the level of the senses or mind, or the forms you seem to be *conscious of*—you are instantly “outside the box.” From this new vantage point, you are able to “look down upon” and shed new light on the mind. You are able to call into question the activity and very validity of the five physical senses. And their validity is indeed questionable!

In response to this, some may try to say that the statements made in this book are merely philosophical—and are not to be taken seriously because they are not *scientifically* factual. They will demand that whatever is to be factual must be on the basis of scientific fact, as determined by the mind—the mind that observes and measures everything by way of the five physical senses, and then arrives at conclusions by way of the intellect or thinking.

Where *So Be It* is new, and the way in which it differs from other books, is that it exposes the so-called validity and authority of the very “sensing mind” itself that’s engaging in science! Science, needless to say, is enormously valuable—in fact, absolutely essential—when it comes to understanding the physical, material world of the five senses. But science, too, has its limits (and any good scientist will be the first to tell you that). So instead of unquestioningly accepting that the sensing mind is the only valid judge as to what Reality is—this book shows you how to turn everything around. It faces the sensing mind head-on and says, “Hey, question *its* reality!”

We have been so busy looking at everything that science’s “observer” supposedly observes, we’ve forgotten to take a good, hard look at the observer itself!

How trustworthy is the “observer,” the sensing mind? Again, the only one insisting that the thinking, sensing mind should have the last word as to what is factual or Reality—is that very same mind! But how real and valid is that mind *itself*? That’s the exciting difference this book brings to science—it makes crystal clear the all-important distinction between pure Conscious Being, and the sensing mind. They are not the same at all.

Is this an attempt to criticize or minimize the way in which science is currently conducted? Absolutely not! It is only to show how much *more* there is beyond the little we've seen. But to see what more there is calls for a new, expanded way of "seeing." These pages make clear why pure *Conscious Being* is the only valid basis from which to answer the deeper questions of science and philosophy, and to know Reality. You will see to your own complete satisfaction why the answers are undeniable. Most importantly, you won't have to take this author's word for anything. It will be *your very own Consciousness* that makes clear the truth of what is being said here.

In exchange for what you will get from these pages, you are asked to do one thing—be patient—and hold the thinking mind, or intellect, in check. Much of the first half of this book is devoted to making clear the enormously important difference between the simplicity and stillness of pure Conscious Awareness as It is effortlessly *being*, and the constant movement and complexity of the thinking, sensing mind. Only by doing so will you be able to "see" by way of pure Conscious Awareness and not the mind. Again, the mind always deals with constantly changing *forms*—sensory impressions, thoughts, ideas, concepts, mental images, emotions, and other phenomena. These forms all have limits.

Meanwhile, pure Awareness is the opposite, being *formless, limitless*. The thinking mind or intellect is conditioned to move in a linear progression, like a train on a track, progressing from one thought to another; from one point to the next. The mind's movement and activity always would be a *process*. Pure Awareness as It is *being* here, now, isn't a process—It is an unmoving *presence*. This aware Being is a changeless presence which simply *is*.

There is all the difference in the world between the two vantage points!

Most emphatically, this book is not "anti" thinking, or "anti" the so-called senses, mind or intellect. Its only purpose is to further "acquaint" you with the much greater "You," the You of unlimited Consciousness. This is the You who *really* thinks—the one who has original, fresh, brand new thoughts—and doesn't just follow the previous cookie-cutter thought patterns we've been educated to accept.

Suppose you were right now offered ten million dollars if you could adequately explain the difference between the sensing, thinking mind and pure Conscious Being, pure Awareness. Are you confident you could do it?

Needless to say, much of the job in these pages is to first familiarize, or thoroughly “ground” the reader in the viewpoint of pure Consciousness, pure Being. The idea is to be “coming from” an entirely different place. That may take some doing, for in daily human experience, it seems we’re thoroughly trained and conditioned to *not* think or “see” in terms of pure Being.

Be forewarned that the rational, thinking, sensing mind may repeatedly try to tell you, “This is way too ethereal to take seriously. There isn’t a scrap of scientific or objective evidence for *Being*; no way to observe, measure or test it. This is all *subjective*—there is no way to objectively prove any of this—so it’s worthless as far as science is concerned.” (The fact is, Being is *neither* objective nor subjective, which will be discussed later in detail.)

What must not be lost sight of, is that the very thinking that is making all those statements is the one you may not be able to trust. So, at least for now, don’t let it talk you out of anything. Make certain that you read far enough to see why the “sensing mind” itself is an illusory charade, as far as Reality is concerned.

Because this so completely reverses the generally accepted point of view, naturally you can expect your thought to be flooded with many new questions as you read. Most of those questions will be answered at some point, but not even the greatest author could find a way to answer all questions at the same time—otherwise this entire book would have to fit into a single paragraph! So again, please don’t take on a sense of impatience, for only a thinking mind would get impatient, not Conscious Being. You’re going to have to hang in there—there’s no way around it. But along the way, you’ll be “discovering” a fascinating and entirely new You. And you will begin to perceive your world and universe in a way that is so thrillingly fresh and new, so exciting, that even the answering of today’s deepest questions will seem like a mere fringe benefit in comparison.

How to read this book

Suppose for a moment that you did, in fact, work as a scientist. Most definitely, one need not be a scientist to appreciate and enjoy what these pages say, but this example helps to make an important point. Speaking strictly as a scientist—and not as the primary, aware, real You—you might well be inclined to mentally dismiss any discussion of pure Consciousness or Being as without merit, and assume Being has no value when it comes to answering questions of science, because Being is far too “ethereal” a subject.

Now stop and ask yourself—are you not first simply conscious? Isn't it thanks to first *being*, being alive and conscious, that you can even practice science, or any other work? *You* are not the same as the science that you engage in. When identifying as the primary, aware You, the real You of pure Conscious Being—this You is neither a scientist, nor an author, or anything else. Again, the primary or core You is simply *aware*, alive; a state of pure intelligence that simply is *being*. Put it this way: if there were no such thing as Awareness or Being, you wouldn't even *be* in order to engage in science, or know anything.

Read this book from here, from pure Conscious Awareness, pure Being.

The other “scientist-you” is a kind of “secondary-you” or partial self.

The scientist-you consists of a state of thinking that of course has been highly educated and intellectually focused in terms of the concepts, practices and disciplines which are essential in your work. The scientist-you is a “secondary-you” that has been trained and sort of mentally funneled along very specific lines necessary for success in your particular field—quite different from, say, an architect-you or a pro-athlete-you—had you trained in those fields. This “secondary-self” is actually a particular state of mind, one that has been intellectually developed or conditioned, and has gradually been added onto, or “superimposed” upon the core You. Certainly, there is nothing wrong with this conditioned-you, but it is definitely a focused, or limited-you. It's not the *unconditioned* You—the broader, real You of pure, raw Intelligence or

unlimited pure Awareness. This unconditioned You is the You that is, as the saying goes, “outside the box,” and not restricted or locked in to prior patterns of thinking only along certain lines.

Now imagine being seated in a room, reading this book. The real, primary, unconditioned You is right there, simply being alive, aware, open, unlimited—like a conscious clean slate. However, the conditioned thinking of the “secondary-self,” which has one type of scientific training, might already be trying to dominate things. It might be taking an attitude that is not fully open to considering the nature of *Being*—but is closed off and full of doubt. After all, that’s what the “secondary-self” is *supposed* to do; that’s what it has been *trained* to do.

Now let’s say there are two others in the same room, also reading this book. One is a plumber, the other a ballerina. When you consider *their* differences in background, training, and conditioning, you see that the same one book would produce three entirely different reactions. If read only on the level of the “thinking mind” or intellect, the appreciation of this material would vary widely—yet in every case it’s the exact same words being read! All three interpretations would be on the level of personal background—because it’s all a matter of the personal conditioning. Yet in each case the essential or core Self is the same—Life’s impersonal and *unconditioned* pure Awareness, pure Being.

The point is simply this: don’t rush to judgment if something you read sounds contrary to what you’ve been educated or intellectually conditioned to accept—but do your best to read from this pure, aware Being you are. Otherwise, what these pages say will be filtered through some kind of pre-conditioning, and the message will be distorted. *There is absolutely nothing radical or outlandish about this material in and of itself.* But if filtered through a state of thinking that is conditioned only along prior, narrowly focused lines, it would *appear* so. When reading from the open Awareness or unconditioned Intelligence You *are*, what these pages say makes perfect sense.

What you are about to read exposes the flaws and mistaken assumptions of traditional, conditioned thinking. It is going to fly right in the face of, and refute such thinking. *It has to—*

because if that old thinking were sufficient or correct, it would have already come up with all the answers long sought by science, philosophy and religion.

There is a saying, “Old habits die hard.” That seems to include habits of thinking, too. So if, while you read, you feel old habits of traditional, conditioned thinking attempting to reassert themselves, try the following. Imagine there are four onlookers, reading over your shoulder as you continue these pages. They’re right here, eagerly poring over every single word with you—and their names are Einstein, Newton, Galileo and Copernicus—four of the most open-minded, false-belief-busting thinkers to ever walk this planet. Would *they* be closed off, and not open to new ways of thinking? Not on your life!

Time for a Reality check

The nature of *Being* has up to now carried a reputation as that which is *un*-scientific.

Being always has been relegated to the realm of the philosophical because it seems Being is outside the bounds of what science does.

What does science do? One of science’s main jobs is to observe, measure and test things in the physical, material world. Science arrives at conclusions only after conducting exhaustive tests and experiments, making sure the results are accurate and consistently repeated—so that the conclusions drawn from those tests are reliable—and nothing could be more valid on that basis. This is typically referred to as the modern scientific method.

Meanwhile, what exactly *is* Being?

No one ever has physically seen or touched Being. No one ever has heard, tasted, or smelled Being either. Being can’t be observed or measured as if It were a material object. Being can’t be put in a test tube, or seen with a microscope. It cannot be divided into a spectrum of colors, or be seen with a spectrometer. Being cannot be mathematically calculated or graphed. Equally, Being is not electromagnetic or electrical; It has no polarity and is neither positive or

negative.

Being is not subject to gravity because It has absolutely no weight. Pure Being is not subject to carbon dating—nor any other kind of dating. Being has absolutely none of the characteristics of matter. Not even any DNA. Not only is Being physically elusive—if you try to merely *think* of what Being is, you can't even give It a mental form.

Pure Being has absolutely no observable form—none physical or material, none mental.

Being simply cannot be tracked by science—because Being leaves no tracks.

The fact that one cannot even *think* or conceptually grasp Being, is what makes It even more exasperating (or useless) to the scientifically trained mind or intellect. After all, the mind's very job is to form ideas, theorize and conceptualize. Naturally, it's easy to say, "I don't want to deal with Being," because you have no idea of what you're dealing *with*.

Yet in spite of all its scientific elusiveness, to be honest, one must agree that Being *is*.

One simply cannot deny or ignore that there is Being. Why? Because if you try to say, "There is no Being," you have to already *be* to do the denying.

In fact, you have to *be* before you can say there is anything—even before you can say there is anything scientific. If one weren't first being, one couldn't do or say anything. So wouldn't it be wise to be familiar with this you that is *being*—since absolutely everything else you do depends on It?

"Okay," you say, "I agree there is Being. But exactly what is It?"

Being, or to be means to exist, to have presence. Being means that which actually is. Being is that which is genuinely present. Notice that nowhere in the definition of Being is there any mention of time—Being has nothing to do with was or will be, or past and future. Being means is. Being means present tense only.

The full, deeper meaning will become clearer as you go along, but for the moment, treat Being like you treat the word *now*. Now is very present and real to you—clearly it is now to you right *now*. Yet now, like Being, has no physical form. Now, like Being, is not some thing that can be physically seen, touched, or measured like a material item. Equally, now is not of the

intellect; you never can *think* now into being present. But you are certain *now* is present, just as you're certain Being is present.

Here's another huge point: notice that the pure Consciousness you are now aware of being can't be separated from this Being, this now that is *now*.

Don't get too concerned with the *words* Being or now. All value is in the "stuff" Itself—in the Being, the now, that is *right now*—apart from the word that labels it.

If Conscious Being or *now* seems too vague or ethereal, stop reading a moment. Relax and just sort of notice or "feel" that which is *present* without you personally thinking or doing anything. Close your eyes and "tune in" or simply, quietly, be alive to what your pure Consciousness is, all by Itself alone—entirely apart from thinking.

That's exactly what Being is—the pure Consciousness you are presently aware of being, all by Itself alone—entirely distinct from all thoughts, all emotions, all physical sensations, and everything else you seem to be *conscious of*. It's the *absence* of sensations, thoughts and emotions—but still being present and aware.

The point of "tuning in" to pure Consciousness alone, is not to get into some meditative state—but simply to show that Conscious Being is an ever-present, operative *fact*.

As you rest in this still, ever-present-ness of Being, thoughts may want to come and go. Or the mind may constantly project mental images. If so, don't fight them. Just calmly bring your attention back to pure Conscious Being. And if Being seems faint at first, just stay alert to what your pure Consciousness is *in terms of Its own alive presence alone*, entirely distinct from all thinking, feelings, all sights and sounds, and everything else. What is *that*? It certainly is not deadness. It is alive, aware stuff—just without a form. To the Conscious Being that is aware right here, now, Its own presence is not vague, but is real, tangible, definitely present and *alive*.

Most importantly, notice that it takes *absolutely no effort* for Being to be.

Nothing you think (or don't think) will make Being become more present, or make Being go away. Being always, already *is*, entirely independent of all activity of the so-called "mind." Most emphatically, Being as the term is used here is *not* referring to anything conceptual; Being

Itself is *not* anything that the intellect may try to conceive or think Being to be—for that would be merely a concept, and always would have its conceptual opposite (being/non-being, is/is-not, true/false). But all such conceptualizing is on the level of the intellect, not Conscious Being’s pure Awareness. It is not the actual “stuff” of pure Conscious Being Itself, which is present “pre” all thoughts about Being.

Then notice how this Conscious Being never is alive in the past or future, but only is alive *now*.

Pure Conscious Being *Itself* never moves; It never flits between past and future—It just is present. In contrast, the thinking and mental activity you seem to be *conscious of* never stops moving—always jumping from one thought, mental image, or feeling to another and another. Pure Conscious Being is like a movie screen that always just is present, never moving or changing, though the pictures of movie characters and other images that are projected on the screen, appear to move and change constantly. To appreciate what this book says, identify as the “pure screen” of Conscious Being, not that which appears to be projected in thought.

As you read, you again may find yourself asking, “But just what *is* pure Consciousness? Why is pure Consciousness, Being, not more specifically defined?” It may sound surprising, but the moment you try to put a label on pure Consciousness, *you’ve lost It*. To attempt to define or label Consciousness, Being, is to try to give It a limited mental form, and again, It’s limitless—It has no form. *It’s not supposed to have a form*. And therein lies Its value in answering many of science’s questions—for up until now, all attempts to answer these questions have gone down the path of the mind and its measurable forms—and the answers are not there to be found.

Keep pausing and “returning to,” or identifying yourself with this simple, *ever-present alive Being* again and again as you read. Never struggle or try to connect with Being—It’s the other way around—you can’t *escape* Being, can’t shut It off. Relax, and effortless Being will give you an entirely new vantage point—and after all, It’s who You really are.

Why *Being* is all-important

Perhaps you work in some exotic area of research, seeking the very essence of Existence or Life—looking for the last word in quantum particles, string theory, or beyond—that last irreducible particle, or “the ultimate Reality.” Some call this science’s holy grail, which, it is hoped, will result in a Theory of Everything. Or perhaps you have only an armchair interest in science. It doesn’t matter. The point is, one cannot dismiss or ignore the nature of *Being* just because It isn’t tangible like a physical object, or observable and measurable.

Being simply cannot be left out of consideration in science (or any other discipline).

Why? Stop and consider what it would mean. If you ignore Being—in fact, if that which truly is *being* is not your starting point or premise, it can only mean you’re speaking of *that which is not being*. If you’re speaking of that which is *not being*, it means you would be speaking of something that literally doesn’t exist! You’re attempting to deal with something that isn’t even present anywhere! So what value would there be to any conclusions you arrive at?

If something isn’t genuinely present or doesn’t truly exist—how could you honestly say it was real, or had any connection to Reality? You couldn’t. That which has *no being, no presence*, simply could not be Reality.

It cannot be disputed that whatever “ultimate Reality” is, it must be *that which is being*.

This is one point that you must admit or agree on—otherwise what these pages say will have no significance. What’s more, one cannot intelligently refute or disagree with this—for if there were no Being, if nothing were present, one wouldn’t even be present to disagree! Even if you do readily agree with this, and the irrefutable nature of Being seems obvious at the moment—do not lose sight of it, because doubts may try to creep in once you begin to see the extent and depth of what Being means.

In Its own, quiet, behind-the-scenes way, the simplicity of Being has fundamentally more to do with science than any amount of data gathering, numerical analysis, or theorizing could ever hope to. If there were no such thing as Being, *nothing* would be, not even science.

As you may already be aware, the word *science* can be traced to the Latin *scient*, which

means to know, to discern. Science, in its broadest sense, simply means *knowing*. Now ask yourself *who* it really is that is present and conscious to be doing all that knowing—in science or in anything else. Again, you see that the only one honestly being conscious and alive to do any knowing is Consciousness or Life Itself—the Life that is *consciously being*. It's the real You again. Life's knowing is unlimited, and our current forms of knowing—by way of physical sensory evidence and the “mind”—are just a small piece of the pie.

If you work as a scientist, you might say, “Yes, but relying on physical evidence and developing hard data is modern science's very purpose. If others want to philosophize about Being, fine. That's not what *I* do. I depend on data.”

Don't lean too heavily on that old cliché, because it only will limit you. While these pages don't throw a lot of numbers at you, and may not appear scientific in the traditional sense, the following is as disciplined, rigorous, and challenging as anything you will ever read.

In the upcoming pages, as you give *Being* a chance, and read with an open thought, stay alert. Why? The intellect, which has been conditioned to think only in a *non-Being* way, may try to doubt and argue with you at every step. If you persist with Being, you'll be rewarded by seeing how Being answers—indisputably—some heretofore unanswered questions in physics and astrophysics. Being even provides one of the biggest new developments to come along in mathematics in the last couple of centuries, concerning the true nature of *infinity*. Being answers long standing questions about Existence, Life, and Reality—as well as the true nature of the stellar universe and time.

Being definitely answers the question of whether the stellar universe is finite or infinite.

It's finite, as already touched on briefly in the Introduction.

Being answers why there is something as fast or “faster” than the speed of light. It's called Undimensional Consciousness.

Being shows why the big bang theory isn't quite right.

Perhaps most importantly, Being completely exposes the up-to-now undetected flaw in science's “observer”—showing it in its *true* light.

Just because Being doesn't answer these and other questions in a way that science or physics may have been *expecting*, don't naively negate what is said here. It *answers* the questions.

Those are all rather strong statements.

How does Being pull off such a bold boast?

Being does so by *not* continuing the chase of science in the traditional way.

Being doesn't involve looking still deeper into the nature of matter, energy, and other phenomena that involve the passing of time. Being doesn't involve looking by way of the sensing mind for more and better numbers, or complex new models for a material universe and the beginning of time. Being doesn't involve more looking in *time*, period.

Being starts from, or "looks out from," the vantage point of *that which is*.

It's as if you one day stopped cold and said, "Hey, all this looking in the physical, material realm of finite time and space is well and good, and obviously has come up with countless marvelous answers—but still not *the* answer. It still hasn't gotten down to rock-bottom *Reality*. Let's put all the sophisticated terminology aside a moment and speak plain English. I just want to know *what really is*."

When you get it down that basic, with almost astonishing simplicity, there's the key that unlocks everything, the answer to all the questions.

The answer lies in the word *is*.

To be the ultimate Reality, ultimate Reality has to *be*

Not to belabor the point, but only because it is so enormously important (and irrefutable), think it through for yourself once more. Whatever is Reality, or the final, ultimate "way it is" has to be *that which actually is*, as mentioned earlier.

Whatever the "ultimate Truth" of Life or Existence is, It has to genuinely exist or

be. It must be changeless, fixed, permanent. Reality has to eternally, truly, *be present*.

Again, if something isn't specifically present, if it isn't *really being*, then it certainly isn't *being real* or being Reality. That which *has no presence* couldn't be any kind of eternal Reality or changeless Truth.

No exceptions. None for science, philosophy, or religion.

Whatever is going to be true, or be Truth—must *truly be*.

And exactly what is Truth? What is it that truly is?

Now it gets exciting.

You are what truly is—as pure Consciousness.

Consciousness is what truly is.

You could not *be*, and now be conscious, as something that is not present. To be conscious, your Consciousness has to *be*.